

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/728,015	12/04/2003	Giorgio Minotti	1188-37 RCE/DIV	7293
7590 09/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Kenneth W. Peist			KHARE, DEVESH	
Bristol-Myers	Squibb			
Patent Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 4000			1623	
Princeton, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer.	10/728,015	MINOTTI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Devesh Khare	1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB	rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	,			
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	,				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		v the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pall All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o		eceived.			
Attachment(s)	"□·· · -	(772.446)			
)⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ② □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/8/2004.		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 1-14 are before the examiner and an action on the merits of said claims is contained herein below.

35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bissery, U.S. Patent 5,908,835 in view of Loshak (XP-002222026: DG Dispatch-ECCO, Sept. 17,1999).

Claims 1-14 are drawn to methods for the treating various cancers with taxol derivative and doxorubicin sequentially, simultaneously, in alternate order, wherein the dosage of doxorubicin is between 40-380 mg, the taxol derivative is between 50-300 mg, with variable administration intervals and modes of administration.

Bissery teaches antitumor compositions comprising taxol derivatives in combination with an anthracycline antibiotic (see abstract). Bissery discloses taxol derivatives and an anthracycline antibiotic combination as the active agents for treating breast, ovarian and lung cancers (see col. 4, lines 46-51). Bissery also discloses in col. 4, lines 37-45, modes of administration, which render the instant methods obvious. Furthermore, under Exam-ple in col. 4, lines 56-67, the administration of 100 mg of Taxotere (taxol

Application/Control Number: 10/728,015

Art Unit: 1623

derivative) and 100 mg of etoposide (anthracycline antibiotic) for use in daily or weekly treatment is disclosed. The applicant's use of 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol is rendered obvious because the taxol, taxotere and their analogues and 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol have the same core structures (see applicant's figures 2 A, B and C) and the skilled artisan would expect these compounds to have similar properties. Bissery differs from the applicant's invention that Bissery does not provide an explicit example of a composition comprising 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol in combination with doxorubicin, however Bissery does provide motivation to use an antibiotic in combination with taxol derivative to treat cancer (col. 4, lines 46-51).

Loshak teaches the treatment of breast cancer in women with a combination therapy using taxol with doxorubicin (first para.).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in this art would have found applicant's method for chemotherapeutic treatment of cancer comprising the administration of a chemotherapeutic combination of 4-desacetyl-4-methylcarbonate taxol and doxorubicin to have been obvious at the time the invention was made having the above references before him because Bissery teaches the antitumor compositions comprising taxol derivatives in combination with an anthracycline antibiotic. Loshak teaches the use of doxorubicin in combination with taxol in the treatment of breast cancer and like Bissery provides motivation to use an antibiotic in combination with taxol derivative to treat cancer (col. 4, lines 46-51).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Art Unit: 1623

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is (571) 272-0653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at (571)272-0661. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D.,JD. Art Unit 1623 September 16, 2004 JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER